

Opposite trends of NH and SH monsoon in the past century

Jian Cao Nuist

Co-authors: Hao Wang, Haikun Zhao, Chao Wang(NUIST, CHINA) B. Wang (Hawaii University, USA) Xiaowei Zhu (Ningxia Climate Center, CHINA)

- Cao, J., H. Wang, B. Wang, H. Zhao C. Wan. X. Zhu, 2021: Higher Sensitivity of Northern Hemisphere Monsoon to Anthropogenic Aerosol Than Greenhouse Gases. Geophysical Research Letters, 49, e2022GL100270.
- Cao, J., X. Lian, M. Cao, B. Wang, X. Zhu, H. Zhao, 2023: Wettening of Southern Hemisphere land monsoon during 1901-2014. Journal of Climate. 36, 8497-8512.



Outline

- Part 1- Introduction
- Part 2- Data and methods
- > Part 3- External forcing drive long-term NH monsoon change
- Part 4- Tropical SST gradient forced SH monsoon increase
- Part 5- Summary

Part 1: Global monsoon





Global mean surface air temperature



Figure 4.2 | Selected indicators of global climate change from CMIP6 historical and scenario simulations.

Part 1: NH monsoon vs. SH monsoon



Past 21K: Anti-phase relationship between NH and SH monsoon precipitation due to ice melting



Part 1: NH monsoon vs. SH monsoon

1900



Past 1K: Role of GMST



1000



Future one century NH: Thermal Contrast SH: ???



2100

2000

Wang et al. 2020

Part 1: Global Warming and Monsoons



SSP5-8.5 (2081-2100)



(a) Best estimate (scaled)



Figure 4.41 | High-warming storylines for changes in annual mean temperature. Figure 4.42 | High-warming storylines for changes in annual mean precipitation.

(a) Global land monsoon precipitation index





Figure 4.14 | Time series of global land monsoon precipitation and Northern Hemisphere summer monsoon (NHSM) circulation index anomalies

Part 1: Changes in NH Monsoon





Detection and attribution of global land monsoon precipitation changes under different forcing agents. (a) global land monsoon precipitation anomalies. Linear trends in global land monsoon precipitation. (c) The results of the optimal fingerprinting detection.

Part 1: Global Warming and Monsoons









- Why is NH monsoon precipitation weakened since 1900s, but the SH monsoon rainfall increased?
- Why does the AA forcing dominate the declining NHLM precipitation, although AA's impacts on Earth system radiative forcing and GMST are less than GHG?
- > Which mechanism is responsible for the increase of SH monsoon?

Part 2: Model data and Method



Var	Source	Usage
Surface temperature	HadCRUT4	
Precipitation	Global Precipitation Climatology Centre (GPCC), Version 7	Examine the long- term change in
	Climate Research Unit (CRU), Version 4.04	monsoon
	the University of Delaware (UDel), Version 4.01	Define the monsoon
	Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)	domain

Monsoon domain is defined where the precipitation difference between summer (May-September, MJJAS) and winter (November-March) exceeds 2.5 mm/d, and summer precipitation accounts for at least 55% of the annual total.





CMIP6 models description			
Model name	Atmosphere resolution	Model name	Atmosphere resolution
ACCESS-CM2	192x144	CESM2-WACCM	288x192
ACCESS-ESM1-5	192x145	E3SM-1-0	360x180
BCC-CSM2-MR	320x160	E3SM-1-1	360x180
CESM2	288x192	EC-Earth3-Veg	512x256
CNRM-CM6-1	256x128	EC-Earth3	512x256
CanESM5	128x64	FGOALS-f3-L	288x180
FGOALS-g3	180x80	FIO-ESM-2-0	288x192
GFDL-ESM4	288x180	GISS-E2-1-G-CC	144x90
GISS-E2-1-G	144x90	GISS-E2-1-H	144x90
HadGEM3-GC31-LL	192x144	IITM-ESM	192x94
IPSL-CM6A-LR	144x143	INM-CM4-8	180x120
MIROC6	256x128	INM-CM5-0	180x120
MRI-ESM2-0	320x160	MCM-UA-1-0	96x80
NorESM2-LM	144x96	MIROC-ES2L	128x64
AWI-ESM-1-1-LR	192x96	MPI-ESM1-2-HR	384x192
BCC-ESM1	128x64	MPI-ESM1-2-LR	192x96
CAMS-CSM1-0	320x160	NESM3	192x96
CESM2-FV2	144x96	NorCPM1	144x96
CESM2-WACCM- FV2	144x96	SAM0-UNICON	288x192



Part 3: NH monsoon change



Linear trends of Observed and simulation NHLM precipitation





	Trend (mm/d/cent)
OBS	-0.15
Historical	-0.15(DAMIP),-0.11(All)
GHG	+0.10
AA	-0.27

Part 3: NH monsoon change



Higher Sensitivity of NHLM to AA than GHG



anomalies versus GMST changes





Top of Atmosphere (TOA) radiative forcing (W m⁻²⁾

Model name	aerosols RF	GHG RF
MME	-1.14	2.94

Moisture budget analysis: $P' \approx E' - \langle \overline{\omega} \partial_p q' \rangle - \langle \omega' \partial_p \overline{q} \rangle$ GHG: TH (+), DY (-) Cancelation AA: E* (+), TH (+), DY* (+) Amplification ~11%/K for AA v.s. ~2.2%/K for GHG **Part 3: Results**



Surface energy change explains the evaporation term



In monsoon region, the surface evaporation is more constrained by the available energy for evaporation (Liepert et al., 2004; Roderick et al., 2014).

$$E = \frac{\mathbf{m}R_n + \rho_a c_p(\delta_e)g_a}{\lambda_v(m+\gamma)}$$





The upper row shows net surface irradiance,

the middle row shows downward solar radiation,

and the bottom row shows the aerosol optical depth



Part 3: NH monsoon change





0

-6 -4 -2 -1

-8

Comparison of atmospheric variables responds to anthropogenic aerosol (AA) (left) and greenhouse gases (GHG) (right) forcing. All changes are scaled by the corresponding global mean surface temperature (GMST) changes from hist-aer and hist-GHG experiments. (a and b) for net surface irradiance (W m⁻² K⁻¹). (c and d) for surface temperature (shading, K K⁻¹) and sea level pressure (contour, Pa K⁻¹). (e and f) for precipitation (mm d⁻¹ K⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ K⁻¹). (g) and (h) for vertical pressure velocity (hPa d⁻¹ K⁻¹) at 500 hPa. The black and red lines outline the Northern Hemisphere (NH) land monsoon region

Part 3: NH monsoon change







Outline

- Part 1- Introduction
- Part 2- Model and method
- Part 3- External forcing drive long-term NH monsoon change
- Part 4- Tropical SST gradient forced SH monsoon increase
- Part 5- Summary

Part 4: SH monsoon change





Figure 1 Climatology of boreal winter (DJFM) precipitation (mm d⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (m s⁻¹) for the period of 1985-2014. The observation and reanalysis are shown in the left and right panel, respectively. (a) GPCC, (b) CRU, (c) UD, (d) the average of (a-c) as OBS, (e) NOAA-20C, (f) ERA-20C, (g) NCEP1, and (h) JRA55. The red curves outline the SHLM region.

Part 4: Wettenning of SHLM





Table 2 Linear trends (mm d-1 cent-1) of SHLMP in observation and reanalysis. * and

** indicate the significance of 95% and 99%.

Region	OBS	NOAA-20C	ERA-20C
SH monsoon	0.14*	0.73**	0.62**
SAF	0.083	0.029	0.18
AUS	0.15**	0.84**	0.37
SAM	0.24**	1.25**	1.1**

Changes of SHLM and three regional monsoon precipitation (mm d⁻¹) from observation (left) and four reanalysis datasets (right). (a, e) for SHLMP, (b,f) for SAF precipitation, (c, g) for AUS precipitation, and lower panel (d, h) for SAM precipitation. The bars in (a) and solid curves in (b) indicate the 3-year running mean SHLMP. The dashed lines indicate linear trends.

Part 4: Wettenning of SHLM





Linear trends of precipitation (mm d⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) during austral summer (DJFM) for observation (left) and reanalysis (right). (a) for GPCC during 1901-2014, (b) for CRU during 1901-2014, (c) for UD during 1901-2014, (d) for OBS (the average of GPCC, CRU, and UD) during 1901-2014. (e) for NOAA-20C during 1901-2014. (f) for ERA-20C during 1901-2010, (g) for NECP1 during 1949-2014, and (h) for JRA55 during 1959-2014. The red curves outline the SHLM region.

Part 4: Mechanism





Moisture term, and (e) Circulation term.

Part 3: Mechanism





(a) Linear trends of SST (shading, K cent⁻¹) and 850 hPa specific humidity (contours, g kg⁻¹ cent⁻¹). (b) Linear trends of 500 hPa vertical pressure velocity (shading, 100*omega, Pa s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (vectors, m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹). (c) Linear trends of velocity potential (shading, 10⁶ m² s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and divergence winds (vectors, m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹). The purple and green lines indicate the positive and nagtive trends of 850 hPa specific humidity, respectively, with the zero line ploting in black.

Part 3: Monsoon circulation indices





Region	Definition of the circulation index	
NAF	U850 (0°–15°N, 30°W–30°E)	
SA	U850 (10°-20°N, 40°-80°E) minus U850 (25°-32.5°N, 75°-90°E)	
EA	V850 (20°–45°N, 110°–130°E)	
WNP	U850 (5°–15°N, 100°–130°E) minus U850 (20°–35°N, 110°–140°E)	
NAM	U850 (5°-15°N, 120°-80°W) minus U850 (20°-30°N, 110°-80°W)	
SAF	U700 (5°–15°S, 10°–30°E) minus U700 (22.5°–30°S, 15°–35°E)	
AUS	U850 (0°-15°S, 90°-130°E) minus U850 (20°-30°S, 100°-140°E)	
SAM	U850 (5°–15°S, 70°–40°W) minus U850 (22.5°–30°S, 60°–40°W)	

SHLM and three regional monsoon (SAF, AUS, SAM) circulation index. The dashed lines indicate the linear trends. SHLM is canulated by the averaged of the three regional monsoon indices.









Figure 8 Difference in linear trends between the wet and dry models during 1850-2014. (a) for precipitation (mm d⁻¹ cent⁻¹), (b) for surface temperature (shading, K cent⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹), (c) for 500 hPa vertical pressure velocity (shading, 100*omega, Pa s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and 850hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹), and (d) velocity potential (shading, $10^5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ cent}^{-1}$) and divergence winds (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) at 200 hPa.





Figure 8 Difference in linear trends between the wet and dry models during 1850-2014. (a) for precipitation (mm d⁻¹ cent⁻¹), (b) for surface temperature (shading, K cent⁻¹) and 850 hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹), (c) for 500 hPa vertical pressure velocity (shading, 100*omega, Pa s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and 850hPa circulation (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹), and (d) velocity potential (shading, 10⁵ m² s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) and divergence winds (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) at 200 hPa.





Figure 10 (a) Observed zonal SST gradient (Indo-Pacific region minus eastern Pacific region) index relative to the average of 1901-2014. (b) Standardized zonal SST gradient index and SH monsoon precipitation index after 79-y running mean in CESM2 preindustrial simulation. ** indicates significance at a 99% confidence level.

Part 4: Monsoon Vs. Hadley circulation





Climatology (shading) and linear trends of meridional divergence circulation from (left) NOAA-20C reanalysis and (right) CMIP6 models. (a) Zonal averaged Hadley circulation. (b) as (a), except for the monsoon region $(10^{\circ}\text{E}-50^{\circ}\text{E}, 110^{\circ}\text{E}-150^{\circ}\text{E}, \text{ and } 80^{\circ}\text{W}-40^{\circ}\text{W})$. (c) as (a), except for the non-monsoon region. (d-f) as (a-c), except for the difference between wet and dry models. Shading shows the climatological mean of vertical pressure velocity (hPa d⁻¹). Vector is the composite of 100 times vertical velocity change (Pa s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) with zonal and meridional wind trends (m s⁻¹ cent⁻¹) in (a) and (b), respectively.

Part 5: Summary



Observational datasets show the decrease in NH land monsoon during 1900-2014, and the SH land monsoon precipiation is increased during the same period. CMIP6 models well reproduced the decrease in NH monsoons, with the single forcing experiment demostrating the dominate role of external forcing. However, CMIP6 model underestimates the observed SH land monsoon trend. Analysis pointed to the zonal SST graident drives the SH monsoon increase since preindustrial.

✓ What is the relative role of AA and GHG forcing in the centennial-scale NHLM precipitation trend?
A: AA dominates the decline of NHLM precipitation, and GHG explains the GMST increase. AA is five time as the GHG on NHLM precipitation change, in terms of per GMST change.

Why does the AA forcing dominate the declining NHLM precipitation?
AA's AOD decreases the surface irradiance for evaporation and more effectively alter the surface temperature gradients, thus monsoon circulation.

 \checkmark Which mechanism is responsible for the increase of SH monsoon?

A: (i) The tropical zonal SST graident drives the Walker circulation change, which redistribute moisutre from the tropical ocean to the monsoon region. This SST graident may not full caused by the anthropogenic forcing. The nature varibility is one of possiblity.

(II) Regional Hadley circulation over the monsoon region dominates the zonal mean Hadley cell and ITC7



Thanks for your attention! Comments and suggestions are welcome!

jianc@nuist.edu.cn