



An Origin of North American Monsoon Retreat Biases in Climate Models

Jiacheng Ye and Zhuo Wang Department of Climate, Meteorology & Atmospheric Sciences (CliMAS) University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

Ye, J., and Z. Wang, 2023: An Origin of North American Monsoon Retreat Biases in Climate Models. J. Climate, 36, 4665–4676



North American monsoon

- one of the most prominent hydrological features over southwest North America
- Gulf of California (GoC) surges (below 700 hPa);
- Gulf of Mexico (GoM) serves as another moisture source.
- Sierra Madre Occidental (SMO).
- Tropical easterly waves, TCs ...

How do the state-of-the-art climate models represent NAM precipitation?

Annual cycle of NAM core area precipitation during 1979–2014



• AMIP models represent the NAM retreat better than the CMIP models.

Does higher model resolution help?

Scatterplot between model resolution and monsoon core precip in October



- Model resolution has limited impacts on the retreat season precipitation.
- What causes the NAM retreat biases (<u>October</u>) in CMIP models?

Differences btw CMIP and AMIP Multi-model Ensemble Mean CMIP "minus" AMIP



NPSH: North Pacific subtropical high **NASH**: North Atlantic subtropical high

Definition of a Subtropical-high (SH) Index



SH = North Atlantic H850 "minus" North Pacific H850

Scatterplot btw the SH index and NAM Precip (Oct)



A large SH index (i.e., relatively stronger NASH) leads to higher retreatseason precipitation.

What leads to the subtropical high biases?

- We adopted a stationary wave model (SWM; Ting and Yu 1998) to diagnose the subtropical high biases.
- Linearized primitive equations.
- Solves these equations for anomalies relative to a specified three-dimensional basic state.
- The SWM is forced by three terms, diabatic heating, topography and transient eddies (not important).
- The SWM helps to establish the link of the NAM retreat bias to regional diabatic heating biases.

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \{(f + \zeta) \cdot \mathbf{V}\} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \left\{ RT\nabla \ln P_s + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \sigma} \right\} - \varepsilon \zeta - \nu \nabla^4 \zeta$$
(A1)
$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \{(f + \zeta) \cdot \mathbf{V}\} - \nabla \cdot \left\{ RT\nabla \ln P_s + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \sigma} \right\}$$
(A1)

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \{ (f + \zeta) \cdot \mathbf{V} \} - \nabla \cdot \left\{ RT\nabla \ln P_s + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial \sigma} \right\} - \nabla^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (u^2 + v^2) + \Phi \right\} - \varepsilon D - \nu \nabla^4 D \qquad (A2) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla T - \dot{\sigma} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\kappa T}{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} + \kappa T \{ (\mathbf{V} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}) \cdot \nabla \ln P_s - \tilde{D} \} - \varepsilon T - \nu \nabla^4 T$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln P_s}{\partial t} = -\tilde{\mathbf{V}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln P_s - \tilde{D} \tag{A4}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{RT}{\sigma} \tag{A5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \dot{\sigma}}{\partial \sigma} = -(\mathbf{V} - \tilde{\mathbf{V}}) \cdot \nabla \ln P_s - (D - \tilde{D}), \qquad (A6)$$

Model Source: Ting and Yu (1998)

CMIP-AMIP vs. SWM Simulation

The SWM is driven by the global diabatic heating diff. between CMIP and AMIP



SWM response

What regional diabatic heating biases contribute to the NAM-related circulation biases?

Question: What regional diabatic heating biases contribute to the NAM-related circulation biases?



CMIP – AMIP diabatic heating

Shading: the streamfunction response to the regional DH



SWM Response: global heating vs. regional heating



The diabatic heating over the tropical eastern North Pacific Ocean (0-20N, 180°-90°W) makes the primary contribution.

Linking tropical NE Pacific diabatic heating anomaly to the NAM retreat-season bias:

Gill-type Response Pattern

(Control minus tropical NEPac replacement Exp)



The diabetic heating (DH) over the tropical Northeastern Pacific is largely overestimated;

Highly positive correlation between retreat-season precip and vertically integrated DH over the tropical NEPac. The overestimation of retreat-season precip is mainly contributed by Gill-type response induced by the overestimated DH.

What contributes to the diabatic heating biases over the tropical NE Pacific?

- We forced CESM model with specified SST to explore how SST biases contribute to diabatic heating biases.
- Tropical eastern North Pac SST biases play a dominant role in inducing the retreat-season biases, with SST biases in other ocean basins playing a secondary role



Future changes of the NAM Precip



- Relative entropy (Kullback and Leibler 1951) is used to assess how well a model represents the seasonality of NAM precip and select "good" vs. "poor" CMIP6 models.
- The "good" models: the timing of the NAM peak season remains the same, but the peak-season precipitation is reduced and monsoon retreat is delayed.
- Black: 1979–2014
 Red: 2065-2100

Summary



- CMIP models have a late retreat bias in NAM simulations, which is not correlated with model resolution.
- Using model hierarchies, we found: positive SST biases in the tropical NE Pac → positive diabatic heating biases → a Matsuno–Gill type of response that enhances the moisture transport from to the NAM region.

Thank you!